Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/631,172	MCARDLE, JAMES MICHAEL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	RAHEEM HOFFLER	2165	

All Participants:

(1) *RAHEEM HOFFLER*.

(2) *Attorney Robert Frantz*.

Status of Application: *Allowance*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *26 May 2009*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
no

Claims discussed:
19

Prior art documents discussed:
no

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner called Attorney Robert Frantz to discuss an Examiner's amendment to Claim 19 in which hardware can be positively recited within the claim language. Agreement was reached and a proposed amendment to Claim 19 was emailed to Examiner

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Neveen Abel-Jalil/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2165
/R. H./
Examiner, Art Unit 2165

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)